UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

OKLAHOMA FIREFIGHTERS PENSION AND RETIREMENT SYSTEM, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIX FLAGS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION, ET AL.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

No. 4:20-cy-00201-P

This matter came on for hearing on January 28, 2025 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; it appearing that: (i) the Notice of the Settlement Hearing was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort substantially in the form approved by the Court and (ii) a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and over *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses requested.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated September 3, 2024 (ECF No. 145) (the "Stipulation") and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

- 3. Notice of Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses was given to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 4. Lead Counsel and additional counsel Klausner, Kaufman, Jensen & Levinson (collectively, "Plaintiffs' Counsel") are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of 25% of the Settlement Fund or \$10,000,000, plus interest earned at the same rate as the Settlement Fund. Plaintiffs' Counsel are also hereby awarded \$499,247.92 for payment of their litigation expenses. These attorneys' fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund and the Court finds these sums to be fair and reasonable. Lead Counsel shall allocate the attorneys' fees awarded amongst Plaintiffs' Counsel in a manner which it, in good faith, believes reflects the contributions of such counsel to the institution, prosecution, and settlement of the Action.
- 5. In making this award of attorneys' fees and payment of litigation expenses from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:
 - a. The Settlement has created a fund of \$40,000,000 in cash that has been funded into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, and that numerous Settlement Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement that occurred because of the efforts of Plaintiffs' Counsel;
 - b. The fee sought has been reviewed and approved as reasonable by Plaintiffs, sophisticated institutional investors that actively supervised the Action;

- c. Copies of the Notice were mailed to over 96,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees stating that Lead Counsel would apply for attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund and payment of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$650,000 and no objections to the requested award of attorneys' fees or Litigation Expenses were submitted;
- d. Plaintiffs' Counsel conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance, and diligent advocacy;
 - e. The Action raised a number of complex issues;
- f. Had Lead Counsel not achieved the Settlement there would remain a significant risk that Plaintiffs and the other members of the Settlement Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants;
- g. Plaintiffs' Counsel devoted over 7,700 hours, with a lodestar value of approximately \$5.14 million, to achieve the Settlement; and
- h. The amount of attorneys' fees awarded and expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund are fair and reasonable and consistent with awards in similar cases.
- 6. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any attorneys' fees and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.
- 7. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Parties and the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order.
- 8. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulation.

| · | reason for delay in the er | v | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| and immediate entry by the | Clerk of the Court is expr | essly directed. | |
| SO ORDERED on th | is day of | , 2025. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | HONORABLE MARK T. | HONORABLE MARK T. PITTMAN | |
| | UNITED STATES DISTR | ICT JUDGE | |